

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/886,491 06/21/2001 Andrew James Halt HAL2-PT003 6089 7590 07/09/2003 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. **EXAMINER UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600** CULLER, JILL E 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2854

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1 A . 11 - 42 B	<u> </u>	
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/886,491	HALT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jill E. Culler	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	<u>May 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			S
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	iwn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alastian requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05/02</u>	<u>2/03</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prediction</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not apparent from the disclosure how the blocks of the invention could be defined as 'interlocking'. It has been assumed that this refers to their ability to be readily detached from the impression means.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,896,929 to Dori in view of U.S. Patent No. 994,971 to Beck.

Dori clearly shows an identification and tracking system comprising an impression means, 20, for providing a predetermined image, 26, 28, upon an impressionable medium, 10, where the impressionable medium is sand. Dori also

Art Unit: 2854

teaches that the impression means is a cylindrical drum, rotatably connected, which creates an image as the drum rotates across the impressionable medium. Dori further teaches that the drum comprises areas of different heights which form the impression. See Figs. 4 and 5 in particular.

Dori does not show an activation means for moving the impression means between a first position, where the impression means is in contact with the impressionable medium, and a second position where the impression means is out of contact with the impressionable medium. Dori also does not show a sled for supporting the activation means, thereby permitting the system to glide across the impressionable medium.

Beck teaches an identification and tracking system comprising an activation means, 13, for moving an impression means, 8, between a first position, where the impression means is in contact with the impressionable medium, and a second position where the impression means is out of contact with the impressionable medium, and a sled, 1, for supporting the activation means. See lines 64-71 and 88-102.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the identification and tracking system of Dori by including a means therein for moving the impression means into and out of contact with the impressionable medium, since Beck teaches that such a means is advantageous for selectively applying the impression to the impressionable medium.

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claims 6 and 7, the bracket, 22, of Dori is sufficient to meet the claimed brackets, since claims 6 and 7 do not structurally define the brackets, but rather describe the intended use of the brackets.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dori and Beck as applied to claims 1-3 and 6-7 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,050,168 to Pace.

Dori and Beck teach all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1-3 and 6-7 except that the areas of differing heights in the system comprise at least two interlocking blocks, each of which has a predefined character embossed thereon.

Pace teaches a tracking and identification system comprising an impression means, 12, having areas of different heights comprising detachable blocks, 18, embossed with a predefined character, 16-24.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the blocks of Pace to the modified identification and tracking system of Dori in order to be able to change the impression created by the impression means on the impressionable medium.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed May 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive of any error in the above rejection.

Art Unit: 2854

In response to the rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, it remains unclear what applicant intends by the use of the word 'interlocking'. The referenced paragraph discusses both interlocking and non-interlocking blocks but the referenced illustration appears to indicate only one type of block and, as the description indicates that all of the blocks are held in alignment by a fixing means, it does not explain the difference between the interlocking and non-interlocking blocks.

In response to applicant's argument that it would not be physically possible to connect the raising and lowering means of Beck to the roller of the current invention, it should be noted that the rejection only concerns the combination of the raising and lowering means of Beck and the identification and tracking system of Dori, which meets the recited claim language. A person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize any structural modification required to make the combination functional.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no indication in Dori that it would be desirable to lift the roller off the sand or other surface, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, one having ordinary skill in the art would

Art Unit: 2854

recognize the advantage of being able to lift the system from the impression surface, thereby only producing the impression as desired.

### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill E. Culler whose telephone number is (703) 308-1413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2854

Page 7

308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

jec July 2, 2003 Dan Colilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854